



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/337,802	06/22/1999	ROBERT W. LUFFEL	109800296-1	9485	
22879	7590 02/13/2002				
HEWLETT	HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			DAVIS, DAVID DONALD		
FORT COLL	LINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2652		
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2002	}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 \mathcal{N}

Advisory Action Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Examiner David D. Davis —The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — THE REPLY FILED 25 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may gnib be either: (1) a timely filed amendment within places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed formation with a proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.114. Application of the period for reply exples			• •	ন্ম				
THE REPLY FILED 25 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL DWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.13 may gifty be either (*) a timely life empty of the properties of a condition for allowance. (2) a timely filed empty of the properties of a condition for allowance. (2) a timely filed empty of the properties of the properties of the filed rejection. A properties of the properties of the filed rejection under 37 CFR 1.13 may gifty be either (*) a timely filed empty of 3 a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires a months from the mailing date of the final rejection. Which were its later. In no exert, however, with the statutory period for reply expires the trans EXI MONT HS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTS OF THE final REPLETION. See MPEP 708.07(f). Enter the properties of advertising the REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTS OF THE final Replection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTS of THE final Replection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTS OF THE final Replection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTS OF THE final Replection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTS OF THE final Replection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTS OF THE final Replection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTS OF THE final Replection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTS OF THE final Replection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTS OF THE final Properties with the final Replection. The first was also with the first properties with the first properties with t	Advisory Action		Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the covar sheet with the correspondence address — THE REPLY FILED 25 January 2002 PAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely field amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS for THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7007. TOTAL THE PRIVATE OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7007. TOTAL THE PRIVATE OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7007. TOTAL CONTINUE of the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 30 CFR 1.13(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 30 CFR 1.13(a). The date on the intelligent period of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 30 CFR 1.13(a). The date on the intelligent period 50 CFR 1.13(a) is a considered by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any search parts are substantially expected by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension fee the application of the fee. The application of the application and for search (see NOTE below); (b) A they are not								
THE REPLY FILED 25 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely flied amendment with places the application in condition for allowance. (2) a timely flied Rollice of Japoeal (with appeal (eq.); or (3) a timely flied Request for Continued Examination (RCCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The period for reply expires	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		correspondence add	ress				
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date sat forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no evert, knower, will be statutory period for reply expires and man SM MONTHS on THE FINAL RELECTION. See MPEP DONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST. REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST. REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST. REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST. REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST. REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST. REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST. REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST. REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST. REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST. REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST. REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST. REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST. REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST. THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	THE REPLY FILED 25 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance: (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued							
b) ∑ The period for reply explers on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. overt, however, with the statutory period for reply explicit alter than SX MONTH's from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Proceedings of the many be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee the been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 157 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the explanation attended the shortened statutory period for reply originally sat in the final office sellor; and (2) as sent forth in (5) above, if noted. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even it they filled, may reduce any extension the process of the proposed and provided the process of the proposed and provided the proposed of amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) and proposed drawing correction filed on proposed and proposed drawing correction filed on proposed and proposed drawing correction filed on proposed	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the linial United excelled. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filled on Appellant's Brief must be filled within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5 The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejection of record is maintained. 6 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Short Primary Examiner. 9 Note the attached Information	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1 136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee							
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ★ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ★ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ★ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ★ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ★ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ★ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ★ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). ★ quest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection of record is maintained. 6. ★ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ★ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ★ will not be entered or b) ★ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: ★ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ★ Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ★ Sandard Claim(s) proposed drawing correction filed on ★ sandard Claim(s) Primary Examiner. 9. ★ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ★ David D. Davis Primary Examiner.	37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection of record is maintained. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) David D. Davis Primary Examiner	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection of record is maintained. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
(c)								
issues for appeal; and/or (d)								
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection of record is maintained. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
4.								
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
application in condition for allowance because: The rejection of record is maintained. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) David D. Davis Primary Examiner	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) David D. Davis Primary Examiner	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection of record is maintained.							
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) David D. Davis Primary Examiner	raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		•					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or l vould be rejected is provided be	b)⊡ will be entered low or appended.	l and an				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	Claim(s) objected to:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: David D. Davis Primary Examiner	Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-24.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: David D. Davis Primary Examiner	—·							
10. Other: David D. Davis Primary Examiner	8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disar	proved by the Exa	miner.				
David D. Davis Primary Examiner	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement							
	10. Other:		Primary Examiner	>				

Application No.



Continuation of 2. NOTE: For example, line 7 of claim 1 raises new issues that would require further search and/or consideration.